

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,832	11/30/2006	Daniel Lecomte	27592-01120-US1	4622	
30678 CONNOLLY	7590 11/17/200 BOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1875 EYE STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			CHAI, L	CHAI, LONGBIT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	2431			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,832	LECOMTE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LONGBIT CHAI	2431	

Onice Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	LONGBIT CHAI	2431						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 and 65 CFR 1.05 cm	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ja	nuary 2007.							
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P							
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)	5) I Notice of Informal P	atent Application						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

 Applicant's claim for benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a) – (d) is acknowledged.

The application is filed on 11/30/2006 but is a 371 case of PCT/FR05/00553 application filed on 3/8/2005 and has a foreign priority application filed on 3/8/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claim(s) recite(s) a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to particular machine, or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. See page 10 of In Re Bilski 88 USPQ2d 1385. The instant claim(s) is/are neither positively tied to a particular machine that accomplishes the claimed method steps nor transform underlying subject matter, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. The recited method claim(s) including steps of modifying, transmitting and reconstituting is (are) broad enough that the claim(s) could be completely performed mentally, verbally or without being tied to a machine nor is any transformation apparent. Any other claims not addressed are rejected by virtue of their dependency.

Page 3

3. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 20 is indefinite because the claim language "the original compressed digital text comprising modified blocks" is not clearly recited in the claim. The only claim limitation prior to this instant claim limitation, as the 1st claim limitation, recites "modifying at least one binary data in one of the blocks and hence it is not clear regarding "the digital text comprising modified blocks", whether "a modified compressed digital text" and "the <u>original</u> compressed digital text comprising modified blocks" are the same and besides, it is not clear what is exactly constituted an original compressed digital text when need to be used as an antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

> granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language,

 Claims 20, 24 – 32, 35 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bishop et al. (U.S. Patent 2004/0148356).

As per claim 20, Bishop teaches a process for secure distribution of compressed digital texts formed by blocks of binary data stemming from digital transformations applied to an original text, comprising:

modifying at least one binary data in one of the blocks according to at least one substitution operation comprising extracting the binary data in a block and replacing it with a decoy (Bishop: Para [0074], Para [0124] and Para [0007]: a message block is compressed / encrypted to generate a decoy);

transmitting a modified compressed digital text in conformity with a format of the original compressed digital text comprising modified blocks (Bishop: Para [0074], Para [0007], Para [0124] and Para [0040]: a sender can create content as a separate file, encode it using a standalone encryption program such as "crypt" or "WinZip" (a compression), and attach it to a message);

transmitting by a separate path the modified compressed digital text and digital complementary information (Bishop: claim-33: the message content key is sent from the sending agent to the recipient agent on a different path than the electronic message); and

reconstituting the original compressed digital text by a calculation on equipment of an addressee as a function of the modified compressed digital text and of the complementary information (Bishop: Para [0041]).

As per claim 24 and 26 – 31, Bishop teaches the binary data represents a prior position in the digital text generated during decoding and the decoy represents a different prior position in the digital text generated during decoding (Bishop: Para [0074], Para [0124] and Para [0007]: a message block is compressed / encrypted to generate a decoy representing a different prior position in the digital text generated during decoding).

As per claim 32 (& claim 25 and 30), Bishop teaches compressed digital text reconstituted from the modified compressed digital text is identical to the original compressed digital text (Bishop: Para [0040]).

As per claim 35, Bishop teaches applied to compressed digital texts stemming from an Adobe PDF format (Bishop: Para (01231).

As per claim 38, Bishop teaches:

at least one server containing original compressed digital texts (Bishop: Para [0040]); an apparatus for analyzing the compressed digital text (Bishop: Para [0040] and Para [0107]);

an apparatus for separating the original compressed digital text into a modified compressed digital text and into complementary information as a function of the analysis (Bishop: Para [0040]);

at least one telecommunication network for transmitting (Bishop: Para [0074], Para [0007] and Para [0040]); and

at least one apparatus in equipment of an addressee for recomposition of the original compressed digital text as a function of the modified compressed digital text and the complementary information (Bishop: Para [0041]).

 Claims 20 – 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacCrisken (U.S. Patent 4,730,348).

As per claim 20, MacCrisken teaches a process for secure distribution of compressed digital texts formed by blocks of binary data stemming from digital transformations applied to an original text, comprising:

modifying at least one binary data in one of the blocks according to at least one substitution operation comprising extracting the binary data in a block and replacing it with a decoy (MacCrisken: Column 2 Line 37 – 44);

transmitting a modified compressed digital text in conformity with a format of the original compressed digital text comprising modified blocks (MacCrisken: Column 2 Line 37 – 44 and Column 6 Line 29 – 32 / Line 39 – 42: if the original compressed digital text indicates the data is not being efficiently compressed, the system invokes a modified compressed digital text by utilizing a table changer to modify the data content);

transmitting by a separate path the modified compressed digital text and digital complementary information (MacCrisken: Column 9 Line 48 – 51, Column 4 Line 34 – 39, Column 6 Line 39 – 40 and Column 2 Line 4 – 7: the compressed message and the table change escape code are divided into a number of separate packets where the forwarding path of each packet can be virtually considered as a separate path); and

reconstituting the original compressed digital text by a calculation on equipment of an addressee as a function of the modified compressed digital text and of the complementary information (MacCrisken: Column 1 Line 10 – 16).

As per claim 21-24 and 27-29, MacCrisken teaches the binary data represents an entry into a coding table and the decoy represents a different entry into the coding table (MacCrisken: Column 2 Line 37-44 and Column 6 Line 29-32 / Line 39-42).

As per claim 25, 26, 30 - 32, MacCrisken teaches the binary data and the decoy have the same size (MacCrisken: Column 1 Line 61 - 67: automatically adapts to different data patterns including the same size or different sizes).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 33, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop et al. (U.S. Patent 2004/0148356), in view of Welch et al. (U.S. Patent 5,861,827).

As per claim 33, 36 and 37, Bishop does not disclose expressly applied to compressed digital texts stemming from an LZW / TIFF / GIF compression format.

Welch teaches applied to compressed digital texts stemming from an LZW / TIFF / GIF compression format (Welch: Column 1 Line 20 – 41).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Welch within the system of Bishop because Welch teaches a data compression and decompression system that can improve expense / cost, control overhead and process time (Welch; Column 2 Line 7 – 15).

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop et al.
 (U.S. Patent 2004/0148356), in view of Bucher et al. (U.S. Patent 6,829,390).

As per claim 34, Bishop does not disclose expressly applied to compressed digital texts stemming from an ZLIB/DEFLATE compression format.

Bucher teaches applied to compressed digital texts stemming from an ZLIB/DEFLATE compression format (Bucher: Column 3 Line 1 – 7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Bucher within the system of Bishop because Bucher teaches a high compression encoding technique suitable for high speed transmission (Bucher: Abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LONGBIT CHAI whose telephone number is (571)272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Longbit Chai/

Longbit Chai E.E. Ph.D Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431 4/24/2009